

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,582		08/15/2001	Jonathan Stanley Harold Denyer	102199-201	3302	
27267	7590	11/26/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
WIGGIN			MENDOZA, MICHAEL G			
ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832			32	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		06508-1832		3761		
				DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				<i>OS</i>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/930,582	DENYER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael G. Mendoza	3761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	-		
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failur - Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{09}$	<u>9 February 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for formal matt er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□  11)□  Priority (12)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coronath or declaration is objected to by the under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rrection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attached	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 d Office Action or form PTO-152			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/781,610.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
2) Notic	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	) 5) D Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/930,582 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 2 September 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the drug delivery device of Wolf does not deliver the drug over a plurality of breaths. However, Wolf teaches a device with an inhalant canister package containing an amount of drug. The drug is delivered over a plurality of breaths before the package is exhausted. Therefore, reads on the amended claim.

The applicant also argues that Wolf does not teach a trend generator of analyzing the breath information and characteristics of the patient's breathing over a number of drug deliveries. However, Wolf does teach analyzing the breath information and characteristics of the patient's breathing over a number of drug deliveries (col. 6, lines 10-16). Therefore, Wolf reads of the amended claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolf 5809997.
- 4. Wolf teach a system and method for delivery of a drug to a patient comprising: a drug delivery device including a breath analyzer (col.5, lines 60-67, and col. 6, lines 1-

Application/Control Number: 09/930,582

Art Unit: 3761

9); a data carrier (col. 6, lines 26-40); a data analyzer and a trend generator (col. 6, lines 10-16); and means for identifying non-compliant use of the drug delivery device (col. 6, lines 16-21).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 5. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/930,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

#### **Contacts**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Mendoza whose telephone number is (703) 305-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

mm

MM November 24, 2003

> WEILUN LO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700